

		Inh	erent	Risk	<b>(</b>			Residual Risk		
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score	
CR1	Safeguarding	4	3	12	Treat	Head of Community Services	3	2	6	
	Risk									
	Death/serious harm to a vulnerable person receiving a Council service and safeguarding compliance									
	Consequence									
	A serious case review arising from death/serious harm to a customers and staff. Reputational damage to Council. Loss of confidence in ability of Council to deliver services. Ensuring compliance with Safeguarding legislation and practise.									
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>An identified Corporate Lead: Head of Community Services.</li> <li>An identified team responsible for Safeguarding (Community Safety) with responsibility embedded into Te</li> <li>An agreed Safeguarding Policy refreshed as required.</li> <li>An identified group of Designated Safeguarding Officers (DSO's).</li> <li>An annual training programme to ensure new DSOs are well informed and trained.</li> <li>A quarterly senior management review by the Head of Community Services of all cases to check progres</li> <li>Annual report to CLT and Corporate Scrutiny as required by exception.</li> <li>A weekly case management review meeting by Environmental Health &amp; Community Safety Team Leader</li> <li>Commitment to raise awareness of the scale and extent of modern slavery in the UK and ensure our com</li> <li>A computerised system of reporting and managing reports introduced in 2019, ensures constant reminde</li> <li>There is now a requirement for suppliers to provide details of their safeguarding policies or agreed to ado</li> <li>Health and Safety Policy</li> <li>Health and Safety at Work Regulations</li> <li>Managers within the relevant services have a legal requirement to conduct regular risk assessments.</li> <li>Staff induction training.</li> <li>Annual reminders to complete/update health and safety risk assessments</li> </ul>	s/close to ens tracts rs of n	e cas sure a and s new ca	es. all cas suppli ases,	ses progresse ies don't conti , sending aler	d. ibute to modern day slavery ands as at all points in the procedure.	•			



#### **APPENDIX 1**

	<ul> <li>Review of the referral process for safeguarding referrals has been implemented</li> <li>Review of the performance indicators for the safeguarding referral service has been implemented</li> </ul>		
Planned mitigating		Delivery timescales	Ongoing
actions		Reason for delay in delivery	
Comments and progress on actions	Stable		

Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR2	Management of Council finances	4	2	8	Treat	Head of Finance	4	1	4
	Risk								
	Reduced funding from Government. Increased demand for services, coupled with high inflation and pay awards has led to a funding gap over the medium term. Government plans reduction in business rates share to the Council. Changes to the local authority financial settlement. Economic downturn / recession. Commercial opportunities not progressed. Changing rent policies. The new Food Waste collections to be introduced in 2025/26 has a risk of insufficient revenue funding from Government being provided.								
	Consequence								
	Possible cessation of services or reduction of services provided.								
	Central government intervention and special measures if Council issues a S114 notice.								
	Inability to deliver Council Delivery Plan as resources are restrained.								
	Potential staff redundancies.								
	Funding of external groups is withdrawn.								
	Potential breach of statutory duties/ability to deliver objectives compromised.								
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Regular financial reporting to CLT and quarterly to Cabinet.</li> <li>Financial Regulations form part of the Council's Constitution.</li> <li>Financial planning processes are documented and reviewed regularly.</li> <li>No risky investments.</li> <li>Capital is funded from the Council's business rates growth.</li> <li>Enhanced governance around capital strategy spending, monitored/scrutinised by Capital Strategy Group.</li> <li>Monthly Statutory Officer meeting.</li> <li>Robust level of general fund and earmarked reserves.</li> <li>External support for technical finance/accounting i.e. Arlingclose (Treasury Management) and PSTax (VAT)</li> <li>Medium Term Financial Plan in place and is updated as part of the budget setting process.</li> <li>A clear financial strategy was established as part of the budget setting for 2023/24.</li> </ul>								



#### October 2024

	<ul> <li>Head of Finance monitoring of Local Government funding reviews - business rates review not expected until 2026/27 and fair funding review delayed again.</li> <li>Funding advisor engaged.</li> <li>Participation in Business Rates Pooling.</li> <li>Accessing external funding where appropriate.</li> <li>Income collection procedures in Revenues and Benefits Service and Housing sound. Leicestershire Revenues and Benefits Partnership has two trained officers working solely on Council Tax Reduction Scheme Fraud and act as Single Point of Contact for Department of Work and Pensions (DWP) referrals.</li> <li>Capital Strategy to use business rates reserve to fund the capital programme</li> <li>Transformation Programme in train to support the closing of the funding gap.</li> <li>Contingency budget to be created in 2025/26 budget to manage the risk of insufficient ongoing revenue funding for food waste</li> <li>Transformation Delivery Plan has been developed to support the balancing of the budget over the medium-term.</li> </ul>
Planned mitigating actions	<ul> <li>Compliance with CIPFA and accounting codes in meeting the revised backstop dates to ensure that the closure of the 2024/25 Statement of Accounts aligns with the statutory deadline.</li> <li>Address internal control weaknesses identified in a range of finance audits</li> <li>Action Plan developed to address financial management weaknesses which is monitored by the Finance Leadership Team</li> <li>Unit 4 to be developed to provide timely and accurate budget monitoring for all key stakeholders</li> <li>MTFP to be further developed to include sensitivity analysis</li> </ul> Reason for delay in delivery
Comments and progress on actions	Internal audits of financial systems have been completed including accountancy and budget control, creditors, debtors, capital, procurement and treasury management. Actions have been developed to address weaknesses. Over 50% of internal audit recommendations from the recent limited assurance reports in respect of finance have now been implemented., with 25% on track for delivery and the remaining 25% are not yet due for delivery.  Additional interim resource to ensure that the Statement of Accounts and statutory returns are published or completed, moving the Council towards a pre-Covid business as usual operation in meeting its statutory requirements.  Additional third-party resource to address issues identified with the Council's financial system (Unit4) with a focus on budget monitoring activity.


Budget setting has started earlier than in previous years to explore budget proposals.



			erent	Risk	Resid			l Risk
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	g S Risk Respons	e Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score



#### October 2024





**APPENDIX 1** 

**Comments and Stable** progress on actions

Report to Corporate Scrutiny Committee on 23 May 2024 setting out the actions being taken to support the recruitment process.

	Risk description	Inherent Risk					Residual Risk		
Ref		Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR4	Personal data breach	4	3	12	Treat	Head of Legal and Support Services	2	2	4
	Risk								
	Loss or unlawful use of personal data constituting a breach of data protection legislation. Systems not in place to protect sensitive data. Staff are not properly trained in managing information and do not follow internal procedures.								
	Consequences								
	Monetary penalties from Information Commissioners Office (ICO), adverse publicity, private litigation and personal criminal liability of officers.								
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Policies and procedures are in place and rolled out</li> <li>The Information Governance polices have been reviewed and brought together under an Information Governance Corporate Governance training is undertaken annually and includes information governance as appropriate as mandatory annual training for all staff. Information Governance training delivered to leaders in November The Council has a dedicated Senior Information Risk Officer (SIRO) and Data Protection Officer (DPO).</li> <li>Quarterly meetings with Information Governance team and SIRO</li> <li>Annual SIRO report considered by Audit and Governance Committee in April 2024 – provided overview of of information risk across the Council and work done over the year.</li> </ul>	e to reer 202	eflect 23.	char	nges in legisla	ition. eLearning module update	ed and	rolle	d out
Planned mitigating actions	<ul> <li>Information Governance Team to cooperate with the supervisory authority and monitor compliance with Da Protection laws.</li> <li>Updated training to be provided to managers in November 2024.</li> <li>Quarterly meetings with Information Governance team and SIRO to continue</li> <li>Annual SIRO report 2024/25 to be taken to Audit and Governance Committee in April 2025</li> </ul>	ata	1	Reas	ery scales son for y in delivery	Ongoing			



**APPENDIX 1** 

• Information Management Policy/Framework will be reviewed in 25/26 alongside other corporate governance policies.

**Comments and Stable** progress on actions

#### **CORPORATE RISK REGISTER**

#### October 2024

Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR5	Procurement and management of contracts	3	3	9	Treat	Head of Finance	2	3	6
	Risk  Contracts have not been adequately secured and administered. This can lead to a range of issues, including suboptimal terms, potential legal disputes, and financial losses. Legal and procurement teams are not consulted when contractors are engaged. Procurement procedures are not followed. The Council contributes to modern slavery via its contracts and supplies.								
	The Council fails to the meet the requirements of the Procurement Act 2023.								
	Consequences  Council liable to incur additional costs, contract overrun, litigation and potential health & safety issues as well as service disruptions.  Failure to meet the requirements of the Procurement Act 2023 may lead to fines, sanctions or other legal								
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Oversight board structure in place to oversee major project work and compliance group now in place to oversee to corporate procurement support and legal team to support where necessary on contract management.</li> <li>Review of procurement compliance undertaken leading to enhanced contract register and updated strategy.</li> <li>Recasting procurement functions activity, processes and focus of training and education for staff in 2023. Third-party support has been procured.</li> </ul>	y							
Planned mitigating actions	<ul> <li>Procurement toolkit to be produced to cover majority of lower value procurements with high value and comprocurements to be supported by specialised function.</li> <li>Contracts register completed and to be published. Register to be regularly reviewed by CLT.</li> <li>Implement wider procurement response outside of financial to determine the competency of a contractor to undertake work – Health and Safety (H&amp;S) competency, training, quality, environmental etc</li> <li>Ensure all staff involved in procurement are well-trained and fully understand the requirements of the Procure Act 2023. Review and amend relevant policies. Support to be provided from additional third-party in respective policies and strategy development. Task and finish group established to ensure meeting requirements the Act.</li> </ul>	uremect of	ent	Reas	very scales son for y in delivery	December 2025			



**APPENDIX 1** 

	Iraining to be provided as part of the annual corporate governance training in November 2024		
mments and Stogress on cions	table		

		Inhe	erent	Risk			Residual Ris		
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR6	Emergency response	4	4	16	Treat	Head of Human Resources and Organisation	4	2	8
	Risk					Development			
	Failure to respond to an emergency event in an appropriate manner. Lack of planning, training and exercising of Emergency plans.								
	Consequences								
	General public at risk of harm or unable to access relevant services (e.g. emergency accommodation or rest centre).								
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Business continuity plans have been reviewed and updated at Head of Service level as part of the 2024/25 arrangements are being constantly updated and have been used during recent storm flooding events that place at regional and national level for a variety of emergency planning scenarios.</li> <li>The LRF partnership arrangement with all Leicestershire and Rutland authorities provides resilience during</li> <li>Business Continuity exercises show the readiness of the Council to deal with emergencies. System of ICO incidents. COVID experience shows capability and ability to perform.</li> <li>LRF delivered training to the Corporate Leadership Team in 2024</li> <li>Senior managers attend LRF training.</li> </ul>	have g civil	affect	ted to	he district in la	ate 2023 and early 2024. Exerc	ises a	lso ta	
Planned mitigating actions				Deliv time	ery scales	Ongoing			
					son for y in delivery				

October 2024

Comments and Stable progress on actions

		Inhe	erent	Risk	ς .	Risk Owner	Re	Residual Risk		
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response		Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	
CR7	Cyber-attack	4	4	16	Treat	Head of ICT	2	2	4	
	Risk									
	Systems not in place or kept current to deflect any foreseeable cyber-attack, including those attackers using generative AI, which is increasing in the industry. Limited staff awareness of possible threats. Lapse in security awareness and basic processes from a technical AI and human perspective									
	Consequences									
	Business as usual" would not be possible. Cost of repelling cyber threat and enhancing security features.									
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Fully resilient network environment in place with no single points of failure for core systems. Systems which on-premises systems which become unavailable for any reason, services would need to revert to their ser</li> <li>Yearly IT security health check and PEN (penetration) testing is carried out, by an Identity Attack Surface I plan in place to mitigate any risks found. In 2024 the Council had 0 critical, 6 high, 3 medium and 23 Low i Council has also passed our Public Services Network (PSN) accreditation for 24/25.</li> <li>Phishing campaigns run four times a year to test staff security awareness and feedback results to CLT, we Campaigns will now include members as they were excluded previously.</li> <li>Quarterly Cyber Security awareness training held for staff and new starters, to protect staff at home and in Yearly mandatory information security training conducted for all staff on the Skillsgate training system.</li> <li>New business systems are run in remote fully resilient data centres and existing systems are being progress. Diversity of environments used to avoid single point of failure risk, with backups now in the cloud, moving a limproved business recovery arrangements have been implemented to minimise recovery time.</li> </ul>	vice E Mana ssues vith im the c	BCP's gements. The supervised of the supervised	to reent (I/ent (I/e) five emer	esume service ASME) securi  high and med  ht plans in place  ed to cloud cor	e. by accredited supplier, wit dium issues have now be dium those who have no	th remediat en remedia	ion ad	ction The	

**APPENDIX 1** 

#### October 2024

	<ul> <li>Accreditation to Cyber Essentials and the Public Services Network.</li> <li>Latest audit / assessments all confirm secure environment with reasonable assurance. Some formalisations of purchase of external vulnerability scanner now in place. This allows the Council to scan and monitor its externative Excellence (CCOE) latest scan showed 47 medium and 102 Low Vulnerabilities, which the Council is working to An annual external IT audit assessed the organisation's IT arrangements in a range of areas against best practic critical recommendations and twelve mediums, The two high recommendations have been resolved, as well as a December.</li> <li>The Council has signed up to the Cyber Assessment framework (CAF), which is another cyber assessment carries. Backups are now stored in the cloud as "offline backups", this is for all Council data and Office 365 tenancy.</li> <li>Increase the Active Directory (AD) password complexity from eight characters to 12 characters. This makes it has Replacement of firewalls due to End of Life (EOL) hardware.</li> <li>Introduce password protection, so that when staff change AD passwords, they are checked against a Microsoft of insecure and weak password in the Council.</li> </ul>	Il perimeter daily and positive mitigate using the tookee. The outcome of the the nine mediums. The lied by Cabinet Office.	proactively mitigate issues. Cloud Centre of which it has been purchased. The audit in 2024 was, limited assurance, with two ree remediations are due for completion in this has now been completed successfully be cracked.
Planned mitigating actions	<ul> <li>Need a list of business-critical systems in order of restoration priority, in conjunction with CLT</li> <li>Complete remaining audit recommendations by December 2024</li> </ul>	Delivery timescales  Reason for delay in delivery	Ongoing
Comments and progress on actions	Stable Good progress on keeping staff and the business secure.		

		Inhe	erent	Risk			Res	idual	l Risk
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR8	Project Management Processes	3	3	9	Treat	Director of Resources	2	2	4
	Risk								
	Projects are poorly managed. Failure of proposed projects could result in failure to achieve overall objectives. Inefficient use / waste of resources.								
	Consequences								
	Failure to implement project management techniques. Poor corporate oversight of projects. Inadequate controls on expenditure and poor budget monitoring. Inadequate monitoring of external contracts. Failure to engage project management expertise when required								
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Greater use of professional project managers for key projects.</li> <li>Work ongoing to address project methodologies deployed across the Council.</li> <li>Greater use of external / non-subject board members.</li> <li>Board structure covering all major projects in place.</li> <li>Properly convened project teams with PID and project plan in place, including project risk registers. Progremanagement framework for outsourced services.</li> <li>Scrutiny of quarterly monitoring reports on capital expenditure.</li> <li>Utilising Internal Audit to conduct audits of individual projects or project management more widely. Scruting Risk Scrutiny Group.</li> <li>Project management guidance has been developed and published, as well as a suite of templates</li> <li>List of Council-wide projects developed and to be monitored by the Transformation Steering Group.</li> </ul>								
Planned mitigating actions	<ul> <li>A schedule of all projects across the Council has been developed and will be monitored by the Transforma Steering Group</li> <li>Project management training scheduled for some officers in September 2024</li> <li>E-learning module is being developed</li> </ul>	ation		Reas	very scales son for y in delivery	April 2025			



**APPENDIX 1** 

<b>Comments and</b>
progress on
actions

Stable

		Inhe	erent	Risk			Res	idual	l Risk
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR9	Ultra vires decisions/Local authority failure  Risk  Council makes ultra vires (beyond the Council's powers and functions) decisions or those which it does not have the correct permissions to undertake. Staff / Members proceeding without established governance arrangements. Failure to consult with Legal / Monitoring Officer.  There is greater focus from Government and regulators on the local government sector. There is a risk of an impact of an adverse external assessment on the Council. If this risk materialised it could impact on service delivery, performance levels, governance, reputation and decision-making arrangements. Greater focus on the local government sector from Government and regulators following high profile council 'failures'.  Consequences	4	3	12	Treat	Head of Legal and Support Services	4	1	4
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Potential challenge to decision/litigation against the Council, resulting in increased costs / compensation. Financial, reputational, legal and political damage to the Council.</li> <li>Constitution reviewed annually – 23/24 review completed, and Constitution approved at Council in Feb 24.</li> <li>Guidance provided to report authors.</li> <li>Legal advice provided to officers and legal implications considered in reports to members as appropriate.</li> <li>Statutory Officer checks take place on reports to Council, Cabinet, Licensing, Planning and Audit and Gov.</li> <li>Advice provided to members by the MO/Dep MO as needed on matters being considered by Council/Com.</li> <li>Policies and procedures in place, governance processes are documented and in operation, ongoing assess Statement.</li> <li>Corporate governance training on decision making provided in 2023 to CLT/ELT</li> <li>The Council has in place a range of controls including financial procedures, governance framework, perfor strategies, controls underpinning its operations, clear communication with staff, Statutory officer meetings Local Government and is actively working to understand the implications/requirements for the Council.</li> </ul>	ernar mitte ssme	es. nts ar	nd rev	views are per ement framew	ork, project management meth	nodolc	ogy,	

#### **APPENDIX 1**

#### October 2024

	<ul> <li>"Golden triangle" of Statutory Officers is in place with a regular meeting of Statutory Officers taking place</li> <li>Recognised in case study by the LGA for having good governance in place</li> <li>LGA Peer Review completed June 2024</li> <li>Internal audits of finance functions completed. Finance Leadership Team meet regularly to track recommendations</li> <li>Where specific regulatory regimens are in place additional oversight and control implemented – e.g. Housing Impro</li> <li>The Council has completed the Office for Local Government Best Value Self-Assessment and is performing well ag</li> </ul>	vement Board	
Planned mitigating actions	<ul> <li>Annual review of Constitution 2024/25</li> <li>Legal advice provided to officers and legal implications considered in reports to members as appropriate.</li> <li>Statutory Officer checks to take place on reports to Council, Cabinet, Licensing, Planning and A and G Committees</li> <li>Guidance provided to report authors</li> <li>Corporate Governance Training to be provided to Managers in November 2024</li> <li>Report authors guide to be refreshed and rolled out for officers</li> <li>Outcome of LGA Peer Review awaited</li> <li>Governance training scheduled for November 2024</li> </ul>	Delivery timescales Reason for delay in delivery	Ongoing
Comments and progress on actions	Stable		

		Inhe	erent	Risk			Res	idua	l Risk
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR10	Fraud  Risk  Council is subject to serious fraud, corruption or theft. Lack of checks and balances within financial regulations. Poor budget / contract management. Poor monitoring of / adherence to financial systems. Changes in working practises causing unintended risk/exposure.  Consequences  Financial, reputational and political damage to Council.	4	3	12	Treat	Head of Finance, Heads of Service and all Team Managers.	3	2	6
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>A policy framework that includes Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policy, Confidential Reporting (Whistleblowing Approved by Cabinet in September 2024</li> <li>The Internal Audit annual planning process takes into account high risk areas, which considers fraud risks detect fraud where possible. The Council is also subject to External Audit. Internal control and governance reconciliations of fund movements, and verification processes.</li> <li>Participation and strengthening of involvement in National Fraud Initiative (mandatory)</li> <li>Information on how to report fraud is on the website including relevant links.</li> <li>A Fraud module is available on Skillgate and is an annual mandatory module for all staff a</li> </ul>	. Fraı	ud risł	s are	e considered a	as part of specific audits with te	esting	desi	gned to
Planned mitigating actions	Fraud discussions and promotion of Fraud policies to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending team makes to be included by internal audit when attending to be attended by internal audit when attending to be attended by internal audit when attending to be attended by internal audit when attended by a subject to be attended by a subject to be attended	neetin		Reas	ery scales son for y in delivery	Ongoing			

		Inhe	erent	Risk			Res	idua	l Risk
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR13	Political Administration	3	3	9	Treat	Chief Executive	2	3	6
	Risk								
	No overall control of the Council following the May 2023 elections could lead to instability in the decision-making process which could impact adversely on service delivery. The election in May 2023 changed the political makeup of the Council.	1							
	Consequences								
	Financial, reputational and political damage to the Council. Slower decision making.								
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>There has been extensive work by officers to work with all Groups to minimise the impact. This work has be Association. The work undertaken to date includes regular briefings with all Groups, a member induction process of councillors on each committee and engaging with staff to raise their awareness in dealing with/res.</li> <li>The Council has in place a range of controls including financial procedures, governance framework, perfor strategies, controls underpinning its operations, clear communication with staff, Statutory officer meetings Local Government and is actively working to understand the implications/requirements for the Council.</li> </ul>	orogra pond rman	amme ing to ce ma	, a co /work anage	ontinuing train king with cour ement framew	ing programme for councillors, cillors. ork, project management meth	clarity odolo	y on 1 gy,	the
Planned mitigating	<ul> <li>Continued engagement with all groups/members.</li> <li>Advice provided to members on Constitution to enable members to undertake their roles.</li> </ul>			Deliv times	ery scales	ongoing			
actions	<ul> <li>Continued Statutory Officer meetings</li> <li>Oflog self-assessment.</li> </ul>				on for y in delivery				
Comments and progress on actions	Stable								



**APPENDIX 1** 

		Inh	erent	Risk				idual	l Risk
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR15	Climate Change/Zero Carbon Delivery	3	4	12	Treat	Head of Community Services	2	2	4
	Risk								
	Inability to deliver programme due to resource / financial / operational / procedural process. Inability to gain action by partners								
	Consequences								
	The failure of the Council to achieve carbon neutrality for its operations by 2030 and carbon neutrality for the District by 2050. This may have long term impacts on both the financial picture of the council and the ability of key service provision in the long term.								
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Achievement of the carbon reduction ambitions are mainly vested in our Zero Carbon Policy and Roadma reviewed annually. It will quantify the estimated net financial costs and net carbon savings associated with Corporate Plan Key Performance Indicators. Whilst the action plan has been agreed it does contain sever have identified the main carbon emissions sources. The Council will be alive to the many and various wind where these are considered likely to make significant impacts on reducing emissions. Emerging statute are operations and indirect influence in relation to climate change.</li> </ul>	the oral act	conter tions v opport	nts of where unitie	the Plan. Atta funding has s for interven	aining the targets in the Plan is not been committed. The Strat tions in between the annual Pla	one o egy a an rev	f the nd Pla isions	lan
Planned mitigating	Work is ongoing to understand the costs of zero carbon delivery which in turn will help to understand the r	risks.		Deliv times	ery scales	April 2026			
actions					on for in delivery				
Comments and progress on actions	Stable								

		Inh	erent	Risk			Res	idua	l Risk
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR16	Changes in national priorities and legislative change	3	3	9	Treat	Chief Executive	2	2	4
	Risk								
	Changes in national priorities given the new Government elected in July 2024. This could include changes in delivery of statutory services.								
	Consequences								
	Council may not have the necessary resources to deliver on key projects. Projects may adversely affect local residents.								
	Introduction of new statutory duties may change the strategic direction of the Council, entail additional workload for officers, change the way existing services are delivered and increase financial pressures.								
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Briefings to officers on relevant changes</li> <li>Working alongside other stakeholders and partner organisations to keep informed of developments such a Leicestershire Chief Executives Group</li> </ul>	as the	Loca	ıl Gov	vernment Ass	ociation, District Councils Netw	ork a	nd	
Planned mitigating	<ul> <li>Circulation of relevant briefings to key offices on proposed/new legislation</li> <li>Effective business continuity planning</li> </ul>			Deliv times	ery scales	Ongoing			
actions	<ul> <li>Regular updates to Members on developments and potential changes in legislation</li> <li>Coordination and sharing of information with other local authorities through various networks and forums</li> </ul>				son for y in delivery				
Comments and progress on actions	New								

#### CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

#### October 2024

		Inhe	erent	Risk			Res	idual	l Risk
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR17	Housing Landlord Function	3	4	12	Treat	Head of Housing	2	3	6
	Risk  That the Council fails to deliver services in compliance with the new regulatory standards and the longer-term arrangements for the service.								
	Consequences  Loss of control of service provision , loss of function, unlimited fines, reputational and political risk.								
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Some levels of control over the major areas of compliance exist in general sense – achieved through systems assessment</li> <li>Greater burdens to provide assurance that performance management is robust, and intervention taken who seen and understood by the appropriate governing body</li> <li>High level of external oversight and reporting to regulatory bodies – including engagement with the same of Adherence to policy and improvement of policy in line with new guidance and process from regulator</li> </ul>	ien pe	erform	nance	e drops. Assu				
Planned mitigating actions	<ul> <li>Housing Improvement Plan in place with oversight from Cabinet, Scrutiny and Housing Improvement Boar sets out a phased improvement plan to address regulatory change and service change as a whole</li> <li>Requested increased audit appraisals</li> <li>Independent consultancy (Housing Quality Network) invited to work with us and undertake a gap analysis current performance against meeting rigor of new consumer standards</li> </ul>			Reas	very scales son for y in delivery	April 2026			



**APPENDIX 1** 

Comments and New progress on actions

Given the findings of poor assurance on compliance areas across both the Consumer Standards (introduced April 2024) and the safety compliance areas (Fire, Legionella, Electricity, Gas, Asbestos, Lifts) coupled with the ratings awarded so far by the Regulator of Social Housing to Local Authorities (C4 to Newham LBC and majority of other LA inspections graded at C3

	Risk description	Inherent Risk					Residual Ris		
Ref		Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score
CR18	Health and Safety  Risk:  The Council fails to comply with health and safety legislation and manage health and safety risks in delivering its services  Consequences  Fatality or serious injury to an employee or member of the public. Breach of legislation may lead to criminal prosecution, imprisonment, fines and reputational damage. Loss of major assets.	3	4	12	Treat	Head of HR and Organisational Development	2	3	6
Existing Controls	<ul> <li>Corporate Health and safety policy in place and reviewed annually.</li> <li>Supporting policies, Fire, Legionella, Asbestos in place.</li> <li>Teams undertake risk assessments and record on the SHE Assure system to identify and implement contrested the Health and safety training program implemented with further development progressing.</li> <li>Health and safety discussion forms part of regular meetings with CLT, Management Teams, JTUCC and U</li> </ul>						ı		
Planned mitigating actions	<ul> <li>Further development of a H&amp;S management system in line with ISO 45001</li> <li>Introduction of H&amp;S guidance notes and introduction of H&amp;S page as a single point to access H&amp;S information</li> </ul>	ation.		Reas	very scales son for y in delivery	<ul><li>April 2026</li><li>December 2024</li></ul>			
Comments and progress on actions	Stable								



**APPENDIX 1** 

		Inhe	nherent Risk		herent Risk				Res	idual	Risk
Ref	Risk description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score	Risk Response	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score		
CR19	East Midlands Freeport	3	4	12	Treat	Head of Housing	2	3	6		
	Risk										
	While the Freeport aims to provide financial incenctives, there are concerns about the long-term sustainability of these benefits.										
	The establishment of the Freeport involves complex legal frameworks, including compliance with the Subsidy Control Act.										
	The development associated with the Freeport could lead to significant environmental concerns, such as increased traffic congestion, impacts on local wildlife, and potential flooding risks.										
	Local communities may have concerns about the changes brought by the Freeport, including potential disruptions and changes to the character of the area.										
	The influx of businesses and workers may strain existing infrastructure, such as roads and public services.										
	Economic conditions can change rapidly, and reliance on the Freeport model may expose the council to market fluctuations that could affect investment and job creation.										
	Consequences										
	If businesses do not meet growth expectations, the anticipated increase in business rates may not materialise.										
	Not adhering to subsidy control regulations could expose the Council to legal challenges or financial penalties.										
	Could lead to opposition from communities that complicates planning and development efforts.										

**APPENDIX 1** 

#### October 2024

Existing Controls	<ul> <li>No detriment agreement has been established to ensure that the Council retains the same level of business rate</li> <li>Legal expertise has been sources to ensure compliance with the subsidy control act and other regulations</li> <li>Clear governance structures for oversight have been established</li> <li>The Council is represented at Board level</li> <li>Statutory officers and legal representatives are actively involved in meetings. The Section 151 Officer is represented on the implications of housing need in the district has been drafted</li> <li>As the planning authority, the Council will handle any planning applications related to the Freeport that fall withing</li> </ul>	ented on the Section 1	
Planned mitigating actions		Delivery timescales  Reason for delay in delivery	April 2026
Comments and progress on actions	New		

# CORPORATE RISK REGISTER October 2024

1. Low	Likely to occur once in every ten years or more
2. Medium	Likely to occur once in every two to three years
3. High	Likely to occur once a year
4. Very High	Likely to occur at least twice in a year

#### Assessing the impact of exposure

1. Minor	Loss of a service for up to one day. Objectives of individuals are not met. No injuries.  Financial loss over £1,000 and up to £10,000. No media attention.  No breaches in Council working practices. No complaints / litigation.
2. Medium	Loss of a service for up to one week with limited impact on the general public.  Service objectives of a service unit are not met.  Injury to an employee or member of the public requiring medical treatment.  Financial loss over £10,000 and up to £100,000.  Adverse regional or local media attention - televised or newspaper report.  Potential for a complaint litigation possible. Breaches of regulations / standards.

#### CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

#### October 2024

3. Serious	Loss of a critical service for one week or more with significant impact on the general public and partner organisations.				
	Service objectives of the directorate of a critical nature are not met.				
	Non-statutory duties are not achieved.				
	Permanent injury to an employee or member of the public Financial loss over £100,000.				
	Adverse national or regional media attention - national newspaper report.				
	Litigation to be expected.				
	Breaches of law punishable by fine.				
4. Major	An incident so severe in its effects that a service or project will be unavailable permanently with a major impact on the general public and partner organisations.				
	Strategic priorities of a critical nature are not met. Statutory duties are not achieved.				
	Death of an employee or member of the public. Financial loss over £1m.				
	Adverse national media attention - national televised news report.				
	Litigation almost certain and difficult to defend. Breaches of law punishable by imprisonment.				

#### Risk matrix

		Likelihood						
		1	2	3	4			
	4	4	8	12	16			
	3	3	6	9	12			
ដ	2	2	4	6	8			
траст	1	1	2	3	4			



**APPENDIX 1** 

#### October 2024

Traditionally in risk management there are four ways to mitigate the risks to the organisation, these being typically referred to as **Treat, Tolerate, Transfer and Terminate** and are known collectively as the "Four Ts".

- Tolerate means the risk is known and accepted by the organisation. In such instances the senior management team should formally sign off that this course of action has been taken.
- **Transfer** means the risk mitigation is transferred i.e. it is passed to a third party such as an insurer or an outsourced provider, although it should be noted that responsibility for the risk cannot be transferred or eliminated.
- Terminate means we stop the process, activity, etc or stop using the premises, IT system, etc which is at risk and hence the risk is no longer relevant.
- Treat means we aim to reduce the likelihood of the threat materialising or else reduce the resultant impact through introducing relevant controls and continuity strategies.