

## **UPDATE SHEET**

### **PLANNING COMMITTEE – 5<sup>th</sup> April 2022**

**To be read in conjunction with the  
Report of the Head of Planning and Infrastructure to Planning  
Committee**

- (a) Additional information received after the publication of the main reports;**
- (b) Amendments to Conditions;**
- (c) Changes to Recommendations**

A1

**The erection of a detached agricultural building and chicken coop and the provision of a track and hardstanding**

Land East side of Austrey Lane, Appleby Parva, Leicestershire, DE12 7AR

**Additional Third Party Representations:**

10 further representations have been received, from a member of the public, objecting on the following grounds:

- Planning history of the site / previous refusals.
- The appeal was dismissed – this application is almost the same as the previous application.
- Harmful to the visual impact on the countryside.
- Cumulative impacts of all the development proposed.
- Openness of the site access area / visual amenity impacts.
- Contrary to the NPPF and the adopted Local Plan.
- Antisocial behaviour of the applicant.
- Unauthorised matters on the application site.
- Impacts from burning of waste on the application site.
- The development is not responsive to context and is therefore contrary to the Council's Good Design Supplementary Planning Document.
- A note to applicant should be included to advise future applications would be refused.

**Update to Officer Report:**

The majority of the above concerns have already been raised by other members of public and their concerns have been addressed in the committee report.

With regard to new neighbour concerns raised above:

- It is recommended to include the council's Good Design SPD in the reason for refusal.
- Comments have been received requesting notes to applicant regarding enforcement matters and about the acceptability of future applications. Unauthorised activity on site is subject to a separate enforcement investigation which is being dealt with outside of this planning application. Additionally, each application is assessed on its own merits. It is therefore not recommended to include these notes to applicant.

**NO CHANGE TO RECOMMENDATION: except to include the Council's Good Design SPD in the reason for refusal.**



This page is intentionally left blank